

# CITY OF SEATTLE ANALYSIS AND DECISION OF THE DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

Application Number:	3012092
Applicant Name:	Sunny Ausink for
Address of Proposal:	7750 15 <sup>th</sup> Ave NE
SUMMARY OF PROPOSED	ACTION
* *	ow an expansion of a minor communication utility (AT&T) as on the rooftop of an existing multifamily structure.
The following approval is requi	red:
SEPA - Environmental	<b>Determination</b> – Chapter 25.05, Seattle Municipal Code (SMC)
SEPA DETERMINATION:	[ ] Exempt [X] DNS [ ] MDNS [ ] EIS
	[ ] DNS with conditions
	DNS involving non-exempt grading or demolition or

### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

### Site and Vicinity Description

The subject site is located on 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue NE between NE 77<sup>th</sup> Street and NE 80<sup>th</sup> Street, on the roof of an existing multifamily building. The property is located in a Neighborhood Commercial Two zone with a 40-foot height limit (NC2-40). Properties in the vicinity are zoned Single Family to the east and south, Commercial One with a 40' height limit (C1 40) to the west, NC2-40 directly to the north, and Lowrise Two (LR2) to the southwest. The area appears to be developed consistent with the underlying zoning.

involving another agency with jurisdiction.

#### **Proposal Description**

The proposed project consists of adding three LTE panel antennas, six RRH units and three surge suppression boxes to be concealed at antenna level within three existing roof top shroud assemblies. Installation also includes the addition of one fiber/power junction box installed at roof level at the point where fiber/power enters the roof. Additional GPS antenna is proposed to be mounted adjacent to an existing GPS antenna at antenna level and one LTE compact enclosure is proposed to be mounted at ground level adjacent to other existing AT&T equipment with fiber/power routing paralleling existing coax conduit routing from point of accessory equipment to point of antennas.



### **Public Comment Period**

The extended public comment period was extended to May 18, 2011. The only letter requested an extension of the comment period.

## **SEPA ANALYSIS**

The initial disclosure of the potential impacts from this project was made in the environmental checklist submitted by the applicant. The information in the checklist and the experience of the lead agency with review of similar projects form the basis for this analysis and decision.

The SEPA Overview Policy (SMC 25.05.554D) clarifies the relationship between codes, policies, and environmental review. Specific policies for each element of the environment, certain neighborhood plans, and other policies explicitly referenced may serve as the basis for exercising substantive SEPA authority.

The Overview Policy states, in part: "Where City regulations have been adopted to address an environmental impact, it shall be presumed that such regulations are adequate to achieve sufficient mitigation," subject to some limitations. Under such limitations/circumstances (SMC 25.05.665 D1-7) mitigation can be considered. Thus, a more detailed discussion of some of the impacts is appropriate.

# **Short-term Impacts**

The following temporary construction-related impacts are expected: 1) decreased air quality due to the increase dust and other suspended particulates from building activities; 2) increased noise and vibration from construction operations and equipment; 3) increased traffic and parking demand from construction personnel; 4) blockage of streets by construction vehicles/activities; 5) conflict with normal pedestrian movement adjacent to the site; and 6) consumption of renewable and non-renewable resources. Although not significant, the impacts are adverse and certain mitigation measures are appropriate as specified below.

City codes and/or ordinances apply to the proposal and will provide mitigation for some of the identified impacts. Specifically, these are: 1) Street Use Ordinance (watering streets to suppress dust, obstruction of the pedestrian right-of-way during construction, construction along the street right-of-way, and sidewalk repair); and 2) Building Code (construction measures in general). Compliance with these applicable codes and ordinances will be adequate to achieve sufficient mitigation and further mitigation by imposing specific conditions is not necessary for these impacts. The other short-term impacts not noted here as mitigated by codes, ordinances or conditions (e.g., increased traffic during construction, additional parking demand generated by construction personnel and equipment, increased use of energy and natural resources) are not sufficiently adverse to warrant further mitigation or discussion.

### **Greenhouse Gas**

Construction activities including construction worker commutes, truck trips, the operation of construction equipment and machinery, and the manufacture of the construction materials themselves result in increases in carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gas emissions which adversely impact air quality and contribute to climate change and global warming. While these impacts are adverse, they are not expected to be significant due to the relatively minor contribution of greenhouse gas emissions from this project.

# **Long-term Impacts**

Long-term or use-related impacts are also anticipated, as a result of approval of this proposal including: increased traffic in the area and increased demand for parking due to maintenance of the facility; and increased demand for public services and utilities. These impacts are minor in scope and do not warrant additional conditioning pursuant to SEPA policies.

#### Environmental Health

The applicant has submitted "Personal Wireless Service Facility Applicant's Statement of FCC Compliance" and "Non-ionizing Electromagnetic Exposure Analysis & Engineering Certification" reports and engineering certification for this proposed facility giving the calculations of radiofrequency power density at roof and ground levels expected from this proposal and attesting to the qualifications of the professional engineer who made this assessment. This complies with the Seattle Municipal Code Section 25.10.300 that contains Electromagnetic Radiation standards with which the proposal must conform. The City of Seattle, in conjunction with Seattle King County Department of Public Health, has determined that Personal Communication Systems (PCS) operate at frequencies far below the Maximum Permissible Exposure standards established by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) and therefore, does not warrant any conditioning to mitigate for adverse impacts.

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) has pre-empted state and local governments from regulating personal wireless service facilities on the basis of environmental effects of radio frequency emissions. As such, no mitigation measures are warranted pursuant to the SEPA Overview Policy (SMC 25.05.665).

Application No. 3012092 Page 4 of 4

#### Greenhouse Gas

Operational activities, primarily vehicular trips associated with the project and the projects' energy consumption, are expected to result in increases in carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gas emissions which adversely impact air quality and contribute to climate change and global warming. While these impacts are adverse, they are not expected to be significant due to the relatively minor contribution of greenhouse gas emissions from this project.

### **DECISION - SEPA**

This decision was made after review by the responsible official on behalf of the lead agency of a completed environmental checklist and other information on file with the responsible department. This constitutes the Threshold Determination and form. The intent of this declaration is to satisfy the requirement of the State Environmental Policy Act (RCW 43.21.C), including the requirement to inform the public of agency decisions pursuant to SEPA.

[X] Determination of Non-Significance. This proposal has been determined to not have a significant adverse impact upon the environment. An EIS is not required under RCW 43.21C.030 (2)(C).

#### **CONDITIONS - SEPA**

None.			
Signature:	(signature on file) Bruce P. Rips, Senior Land Use Planner Department of Planning and Development	Date:	May 26, 2011

BPR:ga RipsB\DOC\TELECOMM\DEC.3012092.docx